Pension Fund Committee

Agenda Item:

6

Dorset County Council



Date of Meeting	14 September 2015
Officer	Chief Financial Officer
Subject of Report	LGPS Update – Pooling Investments
Executive Summary	The Government has been discussing a number of ways of reforming the Local Government Pension Scheme over the last few years, and the most recent of these was a consultation "Local Government Pension Scheme: Opportunities for collaboration, cost savings and efficiencies" which was issued in May 2014. The Government response to this consultation was delayed by, amongst other things, the general election.
	The Government has now returned to the issue of reforming the LGPS, and in the small print of the July budget statement confirmed its desire to work with LGPS funds to create larger pools of assets, with the aim of securing more efficient and effective arrangements.
	The Government have not specified the detail of how they wish Funds to respond to this, but have left it to the LGPS community to propose sufficiently ambitious plans to meet these aims.
	The Dorset Fund has successfully worked with the other Funds in the South West over a number of years, and therefore potentially the best option for collaboration would be to look to work together on this proposal. Officers have had an initial meeting to look at putting together a proposal, and this report outlines that. It is likely that this process will take a good deal of time to establish, but if it meets its initial aims, should enable the Dorset Fund to retain an element of control over its investment management arrangements that would be lost if we were forced to invest in a larger national pool.

Impact Assessment:	Equalities Impact Assessment:
Please refer to the protocol for writing reports.	N/A
	Use of Evidence:
	Previous Government consultations
	Budget:
	N/A
	Risk Assessment:
	There are risks of the proposed course of action but the risks of inactions are considered to be greater.
	Other Implications:
Recommendation	(a)That the Dorset Fund support in principle the setting up of South West Collective Investment Vehicle.
	(b) That the Fund Administrator be authorised to continue work with neighbouring funds in the South West to establish proposals for a South West Collective Investment Vehicle.
Reason for Recommendation	To proactively respond to the challenge laid out in the Chancellor's budget speech, and to ensure that the Dorset Fund is well positioned in any future restructuring of the LGPS.
Appendices	None
Background Papers	Budget Statement July 2015 Previous LGPS Consultations
Report Originator and Contact	Name: Nick Buckland Tel: 01305 224763 Email: n.j.buckland@dorsetcc.gov.uk

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Prior to the 2015 General Election the Government had been considering the structure of the Local Government Pension Scheme and looking at options for pooling investments. This included a "Call for Evidence", and then in May 2014 the Government issued a consultation document entitled "Local Government Pension Scheme: Opportunities for collaboration, cost savings and efficiencies." The Dorset Fund was one of many who responded to the consultation, but no response was issued by the Government before the election.
- 1.2. However, the new Government has now returned to the agenda and whilst no formal response has been issued to this previous consultation, this report sets out the latest Government proposals and proposes a way forward for the Dorset fund.

2. Government Policy

2.1 The Government announced its intentions in the details of its July budget statement. The budget documents set out the following policy:

Local Government Pension Scheme pooled investments – The government will work with Local Government Pension Scheme administering authorities to ensure that they pool investments to significantly reduce costs, while maintaining overall investment performance. The government will invite local authorities to come forward with their own proposals to meet common criteria for delivering savings. A consultation to be published later this year will set out those detailed criteria as well as backstop legislation which will ensure that those administering authorities that do not come forward with sufficiently ambitious proposals are required to pool investments.

- 2.2 Further briefings have provided more information on what is proposed. There will be no formal consultation on any form of structure. The Government are looking for the LGPS community to bring forward their own proposals on setting up pooling arrangements, but the proposals must be ambitious. A series of criteria will be outlined, which are likely to focus on size, cost (i.e. potential savings) and governance. They will be looking for proposals to come forward in the early autumn, and for formal agreement of the proposals to happen by the end of January 2016. It appears that the previous suggestion that Funds will be forced into passive management of their assets will not be pursued, at least initially.
- 2.3 There will be significant work required to set up the new arrangements (i.e. a collective investment vehicle or CIV) and the expectation is that these new arrangements, whatever they may be, should be in place within three years. Not all of each individual Fund's investments will need to be within a collective vehicle at the start, as many funds will have illiquid investments that they are committed to for a longer period, but the majority of assets should be transferred to the CIV in a managed process over a reasonable timeframe once the vehicle is established. Each individual fund would retain control of strategy and asset allocation decisions, but would need to use the managers employed by the CIV.
- 2.4 The government have confirmed that there will be formal consultation which is likely to be around changing the investment regulations, in the main, to remove any barriers to pooling which may be inferred from the current regulations, and the "backstop" legislation. The backstop legislation will simply give the Secretary of State the power to instruct an LGPS Fund to invest through a particular pooled investment vehicle if the fund has not made sufficient progress itself, i.e. if a fund does not

voluntarily pool its assets it can be forced to do so. The government has also confirmed that it is currently working on the criteria that will be used to assess any proposals from LGPS funds, but as mentioned earlier, it is likely the focus of these will be size, cost and ensuring that the appropriate governance arrangements are in place.

3. The Way Ahead

- 3.1 There are a variety of ways in which the pooling arrangements could be set up. Regional CIVs are not necessarily the only option but are one way it could go. Informal discussions have been held with neighbouring councils to consider the possibility of setting up a South West Regional CIV. This would comprise Dorset, Cornwall, Somerset, Devon, Wiltshire, Gloucestershire, Avon (managed by Bath and North East Somerset Council) and the Environment Agency (who are based in Bristol).
- 3.2 In terms of size (total assets of around £19-20 billion) we would be at the bottom end of the Government's expectations, but the intention is that we would be open to other like-minded, and like sized funds joining us. The key issue may be around how this fits in with what the LGPS funds in other regions propose in taking forward the agenda. The Local Government Association will play a role in trying to bring together a co-ordinated solution.
- 3.3 The South West LGPS pension funds have a good record of working together. Officer meetings are held on a regular basis to share best practice, and a number of South West LGPS collaborative frameworks have been set up, for example in relation to actuarial and consultancy services and legal services. These pre-dated the national frameworks that have been set up more recently. The region has many shared characteristics, such as demography, and would not be dominated by a large metropolitan authority. The South West would therefore be a good fit in terms of community of interest and shared objectives. A South West pooling arrangement would be a genuine partnership with clear accountability to the local funds. The alternative would be to invest in a more remote asset pooling arrangement that could be mandated by the Government.
- 3.4 Cost savings and governance will be key criteria in whether the South West proposal would be acceptable. This will involve looking at the forecast savings that we could make though the setting up of the CIV, and also how the CIV would be organised. The London boroughs have been looking for some time at setting up an "Authorised Contractual Scheme" which is in effect a tax efficient separate corporate entity. This would be one option, although there are significant costs in setting up such a body. A simpler alternative might be a joint committee with a lead authority running the CIV. Some work has already been done on these issues, but more analysis will be needed to firm up on proposals to be made to the Government.
- 3.5 The Committee will need to be aware that these changes are likely to involve significant changes in the investment of the fund, with significantly less direct involvement in selection of managers, and potentially some compromise with the detailed specification of mandates. However, with this approach the Fund will have a direct participation in the operation of the CIV, while other options will probably leave the Fund on the margins. As well as offering costs savings, a well-structured pooled vehicle could offer the opportunity to share expertise and knowledge, and, importantly, retain significant control over our own destiny.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 The Government has signalled its clear intention that LGPS investment assets should be pooled, and that action will be taken should local funds fail to engage sufficiently with the agenda. It is therefore proposed that the Dorset Fund should join with neighbouring funds in the South West to actively explore options to set up a regional collective investment vehicle, and that officers should continue to collaborate on proposals.
- 4.2 This is the first stage of an extensive process. There will be chance to review detailed proposals before significant investment is made into creating the new structure, and scope for further review before the funds are transferred over to the CIV. A further report on progress will be brought to the November committee, and email updates will be sent to the Committee if necessary.

Richard Bates Pension Fund Administrator August 2015